



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,476	06/26/2003	Richard C. Brown	STL11197	5647
27365	7590 10/12/2005		EXAMINER	
	TECHNOLOGY LLC C	RENNER, CRAIG A		
	I & KELLY, P.A. ) -  INTERNATIONAL CEN	ITRE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	D AVENUE SOUTH		2652	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/606,476	BROWN ET AL.				
		T T	Examiner	Art Unit				
			Craig A. Renner	2652				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IN IT IS A SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136 junication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 27 July	v 2005.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-17 and 25-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-6,8-17 and 25-32 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	·					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreian n	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	o. 10.01g.1 p	110/kg and 01 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10/d	)-(u) or (i).				
/-	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of							
	application from the Internation		•	za w uno rianonar otago				
* S	See the attached detailed Office action			ed.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
			•					
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
· ape			5,					

Application/Control Number: 10/606,476 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

1. Applicant's election without traverse of "Group I - claims 1-17", cancellation of non-elected "claims... 18-24" as well as elected claim 7 and addition of "new claims 25-32" in the reply filed on 27 July 2005 is acknowledged.

2. Upon further consideration and in light of applicant's amendments, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I - FIGS. 1-2.

Species II - FIG. 3.

Species III - FIG. 4.

Species IV - FIG. 5.

Species V - FIG. 6.

Species VI - FIG. 7.

Species VII - FIG. 8.

Species VIII - FIG. 9.

Species IX - FIG. 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic to all species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 2652

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. A telephone call was made to Deirdre Megley Kvale on 07 October 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7580. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Craig A. Renner Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

CAR